IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4486 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22262 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Ashwin Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4487 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22256 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Bhavana Harish Ruparel & Ors ...Respondents

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4488 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22260 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Shantadevi Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

.....

Shri. K.K. Tated, counsel for the Applicant

Shri. S.S. Kulkarni, counsel for Respondent.

.....

**CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND
SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.**

DATED: 5TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.

2. Learned counsel for Respondents waive service.

3. By these Civil Applications, the Applicant-State is seeking condonation of delay. For the reasons stated in the Civil Applications sufficient cause is made out. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide. Hence, Civil Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (b).

*****

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5138 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22262 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Ashwin Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5139 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22256 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Bhavana Harish Ruparel & Ors ...Respondents

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5140 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22260 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Shantadevi Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

.....

Shri. K.K. Tated, counsel for the Applicant

Shri. S.S. Kulkarni, counsel for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED: 5TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Learned counsel for the Respondents waives service.

2. By this application the Applicant-State is seeking stay. Mr. Tated, the learned A.G.P. submits that the Applicant-State will deposit the entire decreetal amount within a period of 12 weeks from today. In view of this statement, rule made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c) subject to the condition that the Applicant shall deposit the entire decreetal amount within a period of 12 weeks from today. Upon such deposit being made, the Respondents is at liberty to withdraw entire amount on furnishing Bank Guarantee of any Nationalised Bank.

*****

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22262 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Appellant

Versus

Ashwin Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

ALONGWITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22256 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Appellant

Versus

Bhavana Harish Ruparel & Ors ...Respondents

ALONGWITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 22260 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ...Appellant

Versus

Shantadevi Hariram Ruparel ...Respondent

.....

Shri. K.K. Tated, counsel for the Appellant

Shri. S.S. Kulkarni, counsel for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED: 5TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Not on board. Taken on board.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the Appellant and the Respondents.

3. Appeals are admitted. Learned counsel for the Respondents waives service. Printing is dispensed with. Hearing is expedited.

*****